## ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE

T-tests; ANOVA; MANOVA
Chapters 17 \& 18 Pallant

## Topics

1. Experimental designs.
2. t-tests \& non-parametric tests for group differences.
3. ANOVA - What is it?
4. Research design issues.
5. Example
6. MANOVA - what is it?

## 1. Experimental Design

An experiment: Manipulating one or more variables and examining the results.

Test group receives treatment.

The treatment $(X)$ is the manipulation of the variable(s).




## Quasi-Experimental Design

- Random assignment (sampling) provides a means of isolation (other causal sources can be ruled out).
- Quasi experiments do not have random assignment, so you must control for other potential causal sources.


## Banking Example

- Research Problem: What are the effects of electronic banking (e.g. ATM, telephone, internet) on customer satisfaction and loyalty?
- Design: Quasi-experimental design.
- Analysis Method: Compare group differences with t-tests or ANOVA.


## Technique Choice

- T-tests: two groups (e.g. male female) or two time points (e.g. pre- and post-intervention.
- ANOVA: two or more groups or time points.
- Paired samples or repeated measures: Same observations (e.g. people) on more than one occasion, or matched pairs.
- Between groups or independent samples: Participants in each group are different (or independent).


## Technique Choice 2

- One-way ANOVA: One independent variable (e.g. education).
- Two-way ANOVA: Two independent variables (e.g. education and gender).
- MANOVA: More than one dependent variable (e.g. satisfaction and loyalty).
- ANCOVA: Used when controlling for a variable that may influence relationship between dependent and independent variable.


## Alternatives

| Parametric | Non-parametric |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pearson correlation | Spearman correlation |
| Independent samples t- <br> test | Mann-Whitney U test |
| Paired samples t-test | Wilcoxian signed rank test |
| One-way between groups <br> ANOVA | Kruskal-Wallis test |
| One-way repeated <br> measures ANOVA | Friedman test |

## Effect Size

- Statistical significance is only important in so far as the differences are substantively meaningful.
- Samples size (we know) affects the power to detect significant differences.
- We can calculate effect size, accounting for sample size, as a measure of the substantive meaning.
parametric (e.g. t-test) cutoffs

| Effect size | Eta squared | Cohen's d |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Small | $.01(1 \%)$ | .2 |
| Medium | $.06(6 \%)$ | .5 |
| Large | $.138(13.8 \%)$ | .8 |

## 2. t-tests

- t-tests assess the statistical significance of the differences between group means.
- Non-parametric tests use medians.
- Which $t$-test to use depends on the type of groups you have.


## One-Sample t-test

- This procedure tests whether the mean of a single variable differs from a specified constant.

Example: We might want to test whether the average satisfaction for a group of bank customers differs from a specified value (e.g. The previous year's satisfaction score).

| Trin One-Sample T Test |  | $\times$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Education [education] <br> Gender [gender] <br> Trust (X2) [trust] <br> Commitment (X3) [c... <br> Loyalty (Y) [loyalty] <br> Satisfaction Time 2 [. | Test Variable(s): <br> Satisfaction (X1) [sa... | Options... <br> Bootstrap... |
| OK | Reset Cancel Help |  |

## Example

One-Sample Statistics

|  | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error <br> Mean |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction (X1) | 353 | 7.3154 | 1.49388 | .07951 |

One-Sample Test

|  | Test Value $=7.0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower | Upper |
| Satis faction (X1) | 3.967 | 352 | . 000 | . 3154 | . 1590 | . 4718 |
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## Non-Parametric (sortof) Equivalent

## One-Sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test

## Satisfaction (X1)

One-Sample Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test Summary

| Total N | 353 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Test Statistic | 30866,000 |
| Standard Error | 1567,252 |
| Standardized Test <br> Statistic | 4,414 |
| Asymptotic Sig.(2-sided <br> test) | $<, 001$ |

Descriptives

|  |  |  | Statistic | Std. Error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Satisfaction (X1) | Mean |  | 7,3154 | , 07951 |
|  | 95\% Confidence Interval | Lower Bound | 7,1590 |  |
|  | for Mean | Upper Bound | 7,4718 |  |
|  | 5\% Trimmed Mean |  | 7,3631 |  |
|  | Median |  | 7,3333 |  |

There is a significant difference from 7.33

## Independent Samples t-test = Mann-Whitney

This procedure compares means for two groups of cases. Ideally, for this test, the subjects should be randomly assigned to two groups, so that any difference in response is due to the treatment (or lack of treatment) and not to other factors.

Example: Bank customers are randomly split into two groups. One group receives a treatment (e.g. Promotional material), the other doesn't, and then both groups satisfaction level is measured. We then use the t-test to assess group differences on satisfaction.

Note: This can be used to compare differences between groups like gender, although it is questionable as to whether you were randomly assigned...

## Example

- We want to test for differences between male and female customers at the bank for:
- Satisfaction
- Trust
- Commitment
- Loyalty


## Example Hypotheses:

- Hypothesis 1: Women are more satisfied with the bank than men.
- Hypothesis 2: Women trust the bank more than men.
- Hypothesis 3: Women are more committed to the bank than men.
- Hypothesis 4: Women are more loyal to the bank than men.



## Example

|  | Gender | N | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfaction ( $\mathrm{X}_{1}$ ) | Male | 255 | 7,1948 | 1,47773 | ,09254 |
|  | Female | 98 | 7,6293 | 1,49752 | , 15127 |
| Trust ( $\times 2$ ) | Male | 253 | 5,2767 | 1,24025 | ,07797 |
|  | Female | 103 | 5,4660 | 1,25492 | , 12365 |
| Commitment (X3) | Male | 252 | 4,5265 | 1,61903 | ,10199 |
|  | Female | 104 | 5,1506 | 1,37780 | , 13510 |
| Loyalty (M) | Male | 243 | 3,692 | ,76383 | ,04900 |
|  | Female |  | 3,8995 | . 72205 | , 07149 |

## Example continued

Independent Samples Test

|  |  | Levene's Test for Equality of Variances |  | t-test for Equality of Means |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | F | Sig. | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) | Mean Difference | Std. <br> Error <br> Diff. | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |
|  |  | Lower |  |  |  |  |  |  | Upper |
| Sat | equal |  | . 042 | . 838 | -2.465 | 351 | . 014 | -. 4345 | . 17628 | -. 78119 | -. 08777 |
|  | not eq | -2.450 |  |  | 174 | . 015 | -. 4345 | . 17733 | -. 78448 | -. 08448 |
| Trst | equal | . 006 | . 940 | -1.302 | 354 | . 194 | -. 1893 | . 14546 | -. 47541 | . 09673 |
|  | not eq |  |  | -1.295 | 187 | . 197 | -. 1893 | . 14618 | -. 47772 | . 09904 |
| Com | equal | $7.114$ | . 008 | -3.449 | 354 | . 001 | -. 6242 | . 18097 | -. 98009 | -. 26828 |
|  | noteq |  |  | -3.687 | 224 | . 000 | -. 6242 | . 16928 | -. 95777 | -. 29060 |
| Loy | equal | . 776 | $.379$ | -2.335 | 343 | 020 | -. 2071 | . 08869 | -. 38158 | -. 03267 |
|  | not eq |  |  | -2.390 | 200 | . 018 | -. 2071 | . 08667 | -. 37804 | -. 03621 |
| Not equal variances, use lower t-value. |  |  |  |  | No significant difference. |  |  |  |  |  |

## Effect Size - Eta² for Satisfaction

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{t^{2}}{t^{2}+(N 1+N 2-2)} \\
\frac{2.465^{2}}{2.465^{2}+(255+98-2)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
=.017<\begin{aligned}
& \text { Small effect } \\
& 1.7 \% \text { of variance }
\end{aligned}
$$

| Effect size | Eta squared | Cohen's d |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Small | $.01(1 \%)$ | .2 |
| Medium | $.06(6 \%)$ | .5 |
| Large | $.138(13.8 \%)$ | .8 |

## Mann-Whitney Example

Hypothesis Test Summary

|  | Null Hypothesis | Test | Sig. | Decision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | The distribution of Satisfaction (X1) is the same across categories of Groups. | Independent- <br> Samples <br> Mann- <br> Whitney U <br> Test | . 004 | Reject the null hypothesis. |
| 2 | The distribution of Trust ( $X 2$ ) is the same across categories of Groups. | Independent- <br> Samples <br> Mann- <br> Whitney U <br> Test | . 150 | Retain the null hypothesis. |
| 3 | The distribution of Commitment ( $\times 3$ ) is the same across categories of Groups. | Independent- <br> Samples <br> Mann- <br> Whitney U <br> Test | . 001 | Reject the null hypothesis. |
| 4 | The distribution of Loyalty $(\mathrm{Y})$ is the same across categories of Groups. | Independent- <br> Samples <br> Mann- <br> Whitney U <br> Test | . 014 | Reject the null hypothesis. |

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is .05 .


## Mann-Whitney Example

| Ranks |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \begin{tabular}{\|ll|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular} | Gender | N | Mean Rank | Sum of Ranks |
| Satis faction (X1) | Male | 255 | 167,44 | 42696,50 |
|  | Female | 98 | 201,88 | 19784,50 |
|  | Total | 353 |  |  |
|  | Male | 253 | 173,55 | 43908,00 |
|  | Female | 103 | 190,66 | 19638,00 |
|  | Total | 356 |  |  |
| Commitment (X3) | Male | 252 | 166,79 | 42031,50 |
|  | Female | 104 | 206,87 | 21514,50 |
|  | Total | 356 |  |  |
|  | Male | 243 | 164,50 | 39973,50 |
|  | Female | 102 | 193,25 | 19711,50 |
|  | Total | 345 |  |  |

## MW example continued



Less than 0.05 means significant difference.

## MW example continued

You should report medians because it is nonparametric, but with ordinal data it isn't unusual to get identical medians. Interpretation is tough!

Report
Median

| Gender | Satisfaction <br> $(X 1)$ | Trust (X2) | Commitment <br> $(X 3)$ | Loyalty(Y) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Male | 7,3333 | 5,5000 | 4,6667 | 3,7500 |
| Female | 8,0000 | 5,5000 | 5,3333 | 3,7500 |
| Total | 7,3333 | 5,5000 | 5,0000 | 3,7500 |

## Effect Size - Satisfaction



| Effect size | r |
| :--- | :--- |
| Small | .1 |
| Medium | .3 |
| Large | .5 |

## Paired-Samples t-test (repeated measures) = Wilcoxian Signed Rank

This tests one sample that has been tested twice (repeated measures) or when there are two samples that have been matched or "paired".

- Possible Example: Bank customers are randomly sampled, then the entire sample's satisfaction is measured. The entire group receives a treatment (e.g. Promotional material), and then satisfaction is measured again. We then use the t-test to assess before \& after differences on satisfaction.



## Example

Paired Samples Statistics

|  |  |  |  | Std. Error |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | Mean | N | Std. Deviation | Mean |
| Pair | Satis faction (X1) | 7.3154 | 353 | 1.49388 | .07951 |
| 1 | Satis faction Time 2 | 8.3802 | 353 | 1.39484 | .07424 |

Paired Samples Test

|  |  | Paired Differences |  |  |  |  | t | df | Sig. (2-tailed) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | Std. Deviation | Std. Error Mean | 95\% Confidence Interval of the Difference |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lower |  |  | Upper |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pair } \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | Satis faction (X1) - <br> Satisfaction Time 2 |  | -1.06478 | . 63111 | . 03359 | -1.13084 | -. 99871 | -31.699 | 352 | . 000 |

## Wilcoxian Example

## Hypothesis Test Summary

| Null Hypothesis | Test | Sig. | Decision |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Related- <br>  <br> The median of differences between <br> Samples <br> Satisfaction $(X 1)$ and Satisfaction <br> Time 2 equals 0. | Wilcoxon <br> Signed Rank | , 000 |
|  | Test |  |  |

Asymptotic significances are displayed. The significance level is 05 .

## 3. ANOVA - What is it?

Analysis of Variance - ANOVA - is a dependence technique that measures the differences for a single independent variable defining groups based on one or more metric dependent variables.

- The t-test made an assessment between two groups. With more groups multiple $t$-tests could be used, but then you inflate the error. ANOVA avoids this problem.


## Types of ANOVA

- Between groups (independent samples) - when the groups are different.
- Repeated measures - same group measured on different occasions.


## Between Groups ANOVA Example



## 4. Research Design Issues

## Sample Size:

- As a minimum, the observations in each cell must be greater than the number of dependent variables.
- Better: 20 observations per cell (more may be needed for more power).


## Blocking factors:

- E.g. Male/Female: If we assume males and females to be different on the dependent variable, we can split the analysis between these two groups to increase the likelihood of finding group differences.


## Independence of observations:

- Some spurious variable that causes the observations to be correlated.
- E.g. Dropping stock prices (if not controlled for) may affect all bank respondents in a uniform way.
- No tests - use logic.


## Equal variance across groups:

- We are concerned about substantial differences in the variance across groups.
- Gets worse if group sizes are different.
- Levene test.


## Multivariate normality:

- No tests - rely on univariate tests.

Multicollinearity:

- Is a bad thing.

Outliers:

- Have a very strong influence, so delete them if possible.


## 5. Example - Satisfaction and Education

- As a bank, we are concerned about the effect of internet banking on customer satisfaction. One particular aspect we are considering is the level of education and the propensity to use and be satisfied with internet services. We believe they are positively associated. Therefore, we want to test if there are significant differences between groups with different levels of education with regard to satisfaction.


## Hypotheses

- $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no significant difference in the level of satisfaction across levels of education.
- $H_{A}$ : There is a significant difference in the level of satisfaction across levels of education.



## One-Way ANOVA: Options

## Statistics <br> 

Fixed and random effectsHomogeneity of variance test
## Descriptive Statistics

There appears to be a trend, but is it significant?

| Satis faction (X1) |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mini mum | Maximum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | Mean | Std. <br> Deviation | Std. Error | 95\% Confidence Interval for Mean |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper Bound |  |  |
| Junior High | 33 | 7,889 | 1,74934 | ,30452 | 7,2686 | 8,5092 | 2,67 | 10,00 |
| Senior High | 112 | 7,574 | 1,29309 | ,12219 | 7,3323 | 7,8165 | 3,67 | 10,00 |
| College | 38 | 7,158 | 1,34396 | ,21802 | 6,7161 | 7,5996 | 4,00 | 9,00 |
| University | 106 | 7,217 | 1,62848 | ,15817 | 6,9034 | 7,5306 | 2,67 | 10,00 |
| Graduate School | 64 | 6,823 | 1,38519 | ,17315 | 6,4769 | 7,1689 | 2,67 | 10,00 |
| Total | 353 | 7,315 | 1,49388 | ,07951 | 7,1590 | 7,4718 | 2,67 | 10,00 |

## Homogeneity

## Test of Homogeneity of Variances



## F-Test



## Group Differences

Identifying differences between groups:

- Scheffe
- Tukey's HSD
- Tukey's LSD
- Duncan
- Newman-Keuls

All of these have their weaknesses, mostly related to power.

Dependent Variable: Satisfaction (X1)
Scheffe

| (I) Education | (J) Education | Mean Difference (I-J) | Std. Error | Sig. | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Lower Bound | Upper <br> Bound |
| Junior High | Senior High | ,3145 | ,29072 | ,883 | -,5858 | 1,2148 |
|  | College | ,7310 | ,34925 | ,359 | -,3506 | 1,8126 |
|  | University | ,6719 | ,29258 | ,263 | -,2342 | 1,5780 |
|  | Graduate School | 1,0660* | ,31455 | ,023 | ,0919 | 2,0401 |
| Senior High | Junior High | -,3145 | ,29072 | ,883 | -1,2148 | ,5858 |
|  | College | ,4165 | ,27555 | ,684 | -,4368 | 1,2698 |
|  | University | ,3574 | ,19889 | ,521 | -,2585 | ,9734 |
|  | Graduate School | ,7515* | ,22999 | ,032 | ,0392 | 1,4637 |
| College | Junior High | -,7310 | ,34925 | ,359 | -1,8126 | ,3506 |
|  | Senior High | -,4165 | ,27555 | ,684 | -1,2698 | ,4368 |
|  | University | -,0591 | ,27752 | 1,000 | -,9185 | ,8003 |
|  | Graduate School | ,3350 | ,30059 | ,871 | -,5959 | 1,2658 |
| University | Junior High | -,6719 | ,29258 | ,263 | -1,5780 | ,2342 |
|  | Senior High | -,3574 | ,19889 | ,521 | -,9734 | ,2585 |
|  | College | ,0591 | ,27752 | 1,000 | -,8003 | ,9185 |
|  | Graduate School | ,3941 | ,23235 | ,579 | -,3255 | 1,1136 |
| Graduate School | Junior High | -1,0660* | ,31455 | ,023 | -2,0401 | -,0919 |
|  | Senior High | -,7515* | ,22999 | ,032 | -1,4637 | -,0392 |
|  | College | -,3350 | ,30059 | ,871 | -1,2658 | ,5959 |
|  | University | -,3941 | ,23235 | ,579 | -1,1136 | ,3255 |

*. The mean difference is significant at the .05 level.

## Normality?

Tests of Normality

|  | Kolmogorov-Smirnov |  |  | Shapiro-Wilk |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  | Statistic | df | Sig. | Statistic | df | Sig. |
|  | .102 | 353 | .000 | .971 | 353 | .000 |

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

Indicates that loyalty is not normally distributed (Sig. Is lower than .05).

## Boxplot



Remove outliers to improve normality, or consider non-parametric tests

## 6. MANOVA - What is it?

Multiple Analysis of Variance - MANOVA - is a dependence technique that measures the differences for two or more metric dependent variables based on a set of categorical independent variables.

- ANOVA only has one dependent variable.
- A series of univariate ANOVAs ignores the possibility of a composite linear combination of variables that provides evidence of group differences.
- I.e. ANOVA doesn't detect all possible group differences.


## Example

Dependent Variables Independent Variables


Research Question: Do significant differences exist for the level of education, accounting for gender, for loyalty and satisfaction?

## Between-Subject Factors

Between-Subjects Factors
\(\left.\begin{array}{|ll|l|r|}\hline \& \& Value Label \& \mathrm{N} <br>
\hline Education \& 1 \& Junior High \& 33 <br>
\& 2 \& Senior High \& 105 <br>
\& 3 \& College \& 34 <br>
\& 4 \& University \& 101 <br>
\& 5 \& Graduate \& 59 <br>
Gender \& 1 \& School \& <br>
\& 2 \& Male \& 236 <br>
\& Female \& 96 <br>

\hline\end{array}\right\}\)|  |
| :--- |
|  |
| Sample size: |
| Minimum 20 per |
| group - OK. |
|  |

Note: Group sizes are very different so if there is any problem with unequal covariance matrices, this will make it worse.

## Descriptive - Loyalty

Descriptive Statistics

|  | Education | Gender | Mean | Std. Deviation | N |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loyalty | Junior | Male | 4.0400 | .67191 | 25 |
|  | High | Female | 4.1250 | .55097 | 8 |
|  |  | Total | 4.0606 | .63747 | 33 |
|  | Senior | Male | 3.7799 | .67493 | 67 |
|  | High | Female | 3.9276 | .80099 | 38 |
|  |  | Total | 3.8333 | .72280 | 105 |
|  | College | Male | 3.6635 | .79982 | 26 |
|  | Fem ale | 3.7500 | .79057 | 8 |  |
|  |  | Total | 3.6838 | .78651 | 34 |
|  | University | Male | 3.5445 | .84916 | 73 |
|  | Female | 3.9554 | .76089 | 28 |  |
|  | Graduate | Male | 3.6584 | .84241 | 101 |
|  | School | Female | 3.6964 | .71607 | 45 |
|  | Total | 3.6568 | .50171 | 14 |  |
|  |  | Male | 3.6960 | .76248 | 236 |
|  | Total | Female | 3.9036 | .72853 | 96 |
|  |  | Total | 3.7560 | .75762 | 332 |

No real big differences between means.

Problem with group sizes.

Multivariate Tests ${ }^{\text {d }}$

| Effect |  | Value | F | Hypoth esis df | $\begin{gathered} \text { Error } \\ \text { df } \end{gathered}$ | Sig. | Partial Eta Squared | Noncent. Parameter | Obser ved Power ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept | Pillai's Trace | . 952 | $3195.8^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 000 | . 952 | 6391.626 | 1.000 |
|  | Wilks' Lambda | . 048 | $3195.8^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 000 | . 952 | 6391.626 | 1.000 |
|  | Hotelling's Trace | 19.912 | $3195.8{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 000 | . 952 | 6391.626 | 1.000 |
|  | Roy's Largest Root | 19.912 | $3195.8^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 000 | . 952 | 6391.626 | 1.000 |
| EDUCAT | Pillai's Trace | . 052 | 2.164 | 8.000 | 644.0 | . 028 | . 026 | 17.314 | . 858 |
|  | Wilks' Lambda | . 948 | $2.184^{\text {b }}$ | 8.000 | 642.0 | . 027 | . 026 | 17.471 | . 862 |
|  | Hotelling's Trace | . 055 | 2.203 | 8.000 | 640.0 | . 026 | . 027 | 17.626 | . 866 |
|  | Roys Largest Root | . 053 | $4.306^{\text {c }}$ | 4.000 | 322.0 | . 002 | . 051 | 17.225 | . 929 |
| GENDER | Pillai's Trace | . 022 | $3.633^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 028 | . 022 | 7.267 | . 669 |
|  | Wilks' Lambda | . 978 | $3.633^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 028 | . 022 | 7.267 | . 669 |
|  | Hotelling's Trace | . 023 | $3.633^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 028 | . 022 | 7.267 | . 669 |
|  | Roys Largest Root | . 023 | $3.633^{\text {b }}$ | 2.000 | 321.0 | . 028 | . 022 | 7.267 | . 669 |
| EDUCAT* GENDER | Pillai's Trace | . 042 | 1.731 | 8.000 | 644.0 | . 088 | . 021 | 13.851 | . 754 |
|  | Wilks' Lambda | . 958 | $1.741^{\text {b }}$ | 8.000 | 642.0 | . 086 | . 021 | 13.927 | . 756 |
|  | Hotelling's Trace | . 044 | 1.750 | 8.000 | 640.0 | . 084 | . 021 | 14.002 | . 759 |
|  | Roy's Largest Root | . 041 | $3.327{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.000 | 322.0 | . 011 | . 040 | 13.308 | . 841 |

a. Computed using alpha $=.05$
b. Exact statistic
c. The statistic is an upper bound on $F$ that yields a lower bound on the significance level.
d. Design: Intercept+EDUCAT+GENDER+EDUCAT * GENDER


[^0]:    We are assuming a test median of 7 .

